Appendix B – Data Exercise Charts, NNSDFSC, Jan. 18-23, 2003

Data:
- Definitions of incidence incidents, prevalence-examples
- 14, 15, 16-obscure-in collected by many, needs clear definition, may be collected elsewhere

Sources:
- Less data on violence than S.A.
- Definitions
- Surveys
- Not much about arrest rates
- 13-14 Blank
- Truancy Rates-Definitions

Unsafe Schools:
- Suspension/expulsion data
- Violence criminal acts
- R/P data
- Gun-free data

UMIR’s:
1. Data systems-develop new or use existing?
2. Perceived data element.
3. Data collection grants-is there a model we can use?
4. Sixteen grants-what was learned? Florida, Utah-Web based
5. Drop-dead implementation date?
6. Recommended waiting one year until December 2004.
7. Reporting regulation timelines (USDE)
8. Active/passive consent issues
   - Quality of data
   - PPRA-Title IX or X
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How are you Planning to Integrate you State’s Unsafe School Choice Option into UMIR’s?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>25% of student body</th>
<th>Suspension/expulsion data–MD (10 days or more)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 years in row</td>
<td>Arson/Fire–MD (10 days or more)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 1-Assistance</td>
<td>Bomb threat–MD (10 days or more)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2-Action P1/TA</td>
<td>Explosives–MD (10 days or more)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year 3-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical attach–Students/Teachers/Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drugs attach–Students/Teachers/Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sexual harassment–Students/Teachers/Staff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WA-Crime linkages

AL-Expulsion data-SIR and criminal defined offenses
AZ-Graduated-Definition-Year 1: Current data and school polices and program in place
                      Year 2: Adding criminal code data and risk and protective factor data from schools

MT & ND-Suspension/expulsion data
IA-Forcible felonies, assault (state criminal code)
                      Individual who exercise USCO under provisions of victims of violent crime

FL-Expulsion and SESIR data (proposed)
                      Gun-free schools report data (proposed)

TX-Suspension/expulsion data
CO-SAR data; firearms expulsions (both)

CA-Expulsions
KS- Suspension/expulsion data and gun-free

VA-Persistently dangerous definition in will be driven by the collection of crime and violence data process already in place.

ID & UT-Will fill in gaps in existing system
What Questions Would You like Answered Related UMIR’s?

- **MT-** If a state is already gathering the data elements through different means must it be gathered in one data base, or do we just have to be able to report on outcomes?

- **UT-** What are perceived data elements? The data collection grants funded by DOE disseminated?

- **ID-** Drop dead implementation date?

- **UA-** What’s the purpose? What will be done with this data? How does this relate to Homeland Security? Is there a model available?

- **Will there be funding to develop or continue beyond the 1%?**

- **When will we know the reporting requirements to DOE?**

- **How will USDE report data back to the SEAs/Stats?**

- **Define “uniform” state? Or US?**

- **What about small schools? And their inability to collect data? (student confidentiality)**

- **Are there any other states looking at assets/protective factors in their “Persistently Dangerous” definition/criteria?**

- **What are states doing with their definition and data collection on truancy?**

**FL-** We need survey effort to gather required data on prevalence, incidence, age of on set etc…but NCLB/PPRA requiring either actual parental consent if using Ed funds on parent notification LEA policy in place for survey administration using other funds-Why make it so difficult to gather required data? This may affect states’ data gathering efforts in this area. Is Gov’s portion one report and SEA 1 report or all together?
What are your Data Sources?

(Name Survey)

1. **Truancy rates**
   - LEA-State-defined AL
   - ☑️ Annual report-UT, ID, MT, VA, WA
   - “Suspension, expulsion, and health related exclusions”-MD

2. **Frequency, seriousness of violence related offences resulting in suspension**
   - SIR-AL, SEA developed tool site-level data/site level/district/state
   - =KS-CO=SAR
   - TX=PEIMSF1-SESIR
   - QPA=KS
   - WY=by hand account
   - Annual report-UT, ID, MT, VA
   - “Suspension, expulsion, and health related exclusions”-MD

3. **Frequency, seriousness of drug-related offences resulting in suspensions**
   - SIR-AL
   - AZ see ☑️ Ditto 2 FL
   - Site level
   - Annual report-UT, ID, MT, VA
   - “Suspension, expulsion, and health related exclusions”-MD

4. **Frequency, seriousness of violence related offenses resulting in expulsions**
   - SIR-AL
   - AZ see ☑️ Ditto 2 FL
   - Site level
   - CA-by hand
   - Annual report-UT, ID, MT, VA
   - “Suspension, expulsion, and health related exclusions”-MD

5. **Frequency, seriousness of drug-related offences resulting in expulsions**
   - AZ see ☑️ Ditto 2 FL
   - CA-by hand
   - Annual report-UT, ID, MT, VA

6. **Types of curricula, programs, and services**
   - State level report (APR)(IA), ND, AL, AZ,
   - State level application-AZ, IA, ND, AL
   - WA ☑️ Process report-VA, MT
   - MD ☑️ SDFS application-ID, UT
   - KS, CA
   - TX-CPRS
   - CO-LPR
   - WY-LPR

7. **Incidence of (illegal) drug use (in school and communities)**
   - Incidences Iowa Youth Survey, AZ, YRBS, ND, YRBS
   - SESIR=FL
   - CTC=FL
   - CA=CHKS
   - PNA-MT
   - YRBS-ID, MT, UT
   - Yearly Report-VA

   AL=YRBS
   WY=YRBS
   TX=TSS
8. **Prevalence of (illegal) drug use**
   - IA-IYS
   - CTC-FL, KS
   - TSS-TX
   - CHKS-CA
   - PNA-UT, MT
   - YRBS/PNA-WY, MT, ID
   - Year End Report-VA
   - Healthy Youth Survey-WA
   - Adolescent Survey-MD

9. **Age of onset of drug use**
   - Ditto 8-All blue
   - All red except VA
   - Adolescent Survey-MD
   - Healthy Youth Survey-WA

10. **Perception of health risk of drug use**
    - Ditto 8-All blue
    - FT-T.S.
    - CTC
    - YRBS
    - PNA-WY
    - IYS
    - AYRBS
    - AYS
    - IYS
    - All red except VA on 8
    - Adolescent Survey-MD
    - Healthy Youth Survey-WA

11. **Perception of disapproval of drug use**
    - Ditto 8-All blue
    - IYS
    - Ditto for 9-10
    - Adolescent Survey-MD
    - Healthy Youth Survey-WA

12. **Incidence of violence**
    - SDFSC Year End Report-WA
    - Suspension/Expulsion report-MD, AL

13. **Prevalence of violence**

14. **Age of onset of violence**

15. **Perception of health risk of violence**
    - IYS, YRBS

16. **Perception of disapproval of violence**
    - IYS, YRBS

17. **Prevalence of risk factors (e.g., child abuse cases and domestic violence)**
    - PNA-UT, MT
    - Survey Kids Count-WA, MD
    - IYS, YRBS
18. **Prevalence of protective factors, buffers, or assets**  
   Same as #17  
   School Survey-WA, MD  
   IYS, YRBS

19. **Conditions and consequences regarding violence and illegal drug use**  
   UT, VA, MT, School Survey-WA, MD

20. **Delinquency**  
   ? Need definition

21. **Serious discipline problems**  
   ? Need definition  
   MD possibly
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What Data do you have?

SDFS or State or SEA or other Agency?

1. Truancy rates
   VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA
2. Frequency, seriousness of violence related offences resulting in suspension
   FS, KS, TX, CO, WY, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL
3. Frequency, seriousness of drug-related offences resulting in suspensions
   FS, DS, FL, TX, CO, WY, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL
4. Frequency, seriousness of violence related offenses resulting in expulsions
   KS, CO, WY, TX, FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, AZ
5. Frequency, seriousness of drug-related offences resulting in expulsions
   CO, TX, FL, WY, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, HI
6. Types of curricula, programs, and services
   CA, CO, KS, FL, TX, WY, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, AZ, IA, HI
7. Incidence of (illegal) drug use (in school and communities)
   FL, CA, WY, TX, KS, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, HI
8. Prevalence of (illegal) drug use
   FL, CA, TX, WY, KS VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, AL, HI
9. Age of onset of drug use
   CA, FL, TX, KS, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI
10. Perception of health risk of drug use
    CA, FL, KS, WY, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI, IA
11. Perception of disapproval of drug use
    CA, WY, KS, FL, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI, IA
12. Incidence of violence
    ? FL, VA, UT, MT ID, MD, AL, HI
13. Prevalence of violence
    CA, KS, WY, FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA
14. Age of onset of violence
    CA
15. Perception of health risk of violence
    CA, KS, FL, WY, HI, IA
16. Perception of disapproval of violence
    CA, WY, KS, IA
17. Prevalence of risk factors (e.g., child abuse cases and domestic violence)
    KS, CA, WY, FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI
18. Prevalence of protective factors, buffers, or assets
    KS, CA, WY, FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI
19. Conditions and consequences regarding violence and illegal drug use
    VA, UT, MT, MD, WA, ?
20. Delinquency
    VA, UT, MT, ID, Need Definition, HI, IA, WY, FL
21. Serious discipline problems
    Need definition for serious discipline? MD?, AL, CO, TX?